United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,967	10/16/2003	Channing K. Barringer	03292.101810	8084
66569 FITZPATRICI	7590 08/07/2007 K CELLA (AMEX)		EXAMINER	
30 ROCKEFE	LLER PLAZA	•	HALE, ADAM G	
NEW YORK,	NY 10112		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3609	
	•	•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/686,967	BARRINGER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	·	Adam G. Hale	3609				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
		s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		secution as to the	merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application	l.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)[6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT0	O-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)(a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
_	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P					
	No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

Application/Control Number: 10/686,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 3609

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-5, drawn to an entertainment sponsorship marketing system, classified in class 705, subclass 14.
 - II. Claims 6-11, drawn to an integrated marketing system in incorporating multiple media channels, classified in class 725, subclass 40.
 - III. Claims 12-14, drawn to a marketing contest method, classified in class705, subclass 01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions accomplish different objectives. For example, Group I is directed towards an entertainment sponsorship marketing system which facilitates substantial resolution of certain operations. This is different from Group II which uses its marketing channels to demonstrate the benefits of its products. Alternatively, Group II receives suggestion, selects said suggestions and provides awards to participants.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Page 3

because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. A telephone call was made to Jonathan Berschadsky on 7/11/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam G. Hale whose telephone number is 571-270-

Art Unit: 3609

3509. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 6:00 - 4:00 Eastern.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrence Till can be reached on 571-272-1280. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AGH 7/24/07

Supervisory Patent Examiner